

# Analytical solution for the correlator with Gribov propagators

V. Šauli<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Nuclear Physics Rez near Prague, CAS, Czech Republic*

Propagators approximated by meromorphic functions with complex conjugated poles are widely used to model infrared behavior of QCD Green's functions. In this paper, analytical solutions for two point correlator made out of functions with complex conjugated poles or branch points have been obtained in the Minkowski space for the first time. As a special case the Gribov propagator has been considered as well. The result is different from the naive analytical continuation of the correlator primarily defined in the Euclidean space. It is free of ultraviolet divergences, and instead of Lehmann it rather satisfies Gribov integral representation.

PACS numbers: 11.10.St, 11.15.Tk

## I. INTRODUCTION

The explanation of hadron properties in terms of QCD degrees of freedom represents hard non-perturbative task, especially when the energy of a process does not comply with the asymptotic freedom and particle like description of hadron constituents. Chiral symmetry breaking and confinement are the main phenomena beyond the applicability of perturbation theory.

That confinement can be naturally encoded in analytical properties of QCD Green's functions is an old-fashionable conjecture [1–9]. Quark and gluon propagators with complex conjugated singularities are longstanding outcomes of many Bethe-Salpeter and Schwinger-Dyson equations (SDEs) studies [10–16], noting here that a considered propagators, either calculated or phenomenologically used, usually exhibit not one but several (infinite numbers with zero measure i.e. the cuts is possible as well) complex conjugated poles. For instance the quark propagator considered as a series

$$S_q = \sum_i \frac{r_i}{\not{p} - m_i} + \frac{r_i}{\not{p} - m_i^*}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $m_i$  are complex numbers and  $r_i$  real residua, actually provides a good ingredient for calculations of pion observables. Note that in practice, most of (and all cited above) non-perturbative studies are based on the use of Euclidean metric from the beginning and the calculations performed in Minkowski space are due to the known obstacles very rare [17, 18]. Guiding by simple assumption, that physics in Minkowski space can be read from the analytical continuation of the solutions via Euclidean theory, the lattice data has been checked against the form of Stieltjes representation [19, 20] as well as the solutions of SDEs system [21–23] have been discussed in the context of usual dispersion relation.

Assumed structure of propagators describing the confinement of quarks and gluons, i.e. the form represented by (1.1), implies the lost of perturbative analyticity. In this paper we readdress some important issues of analytical properties of Green's functions in QFT. For this purpose we consider 2-point correlation function of the following form

$$\Pi(p) = i \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \Gamma G(l) \Gamma G(l - p). \quad (1.2)$$

Using for this purpose the Gribov propagator:

$$G(p^2) = \frac{1}{p^2 + \frac{\mu^4}{p^2}}, \quad (1.3)$$

(here we ignore the spin structure) we will explicitly calculate the correlator (1.2) in Minkowski space and show that the correlator does not satisfy spectral representation but it reflects, and in fact it reproduces again, the Gribov form in its own continuous integral representation. Recall that the lost of reflection positivity is expected for theory

---

\*Electronic address: sauli@ujf.cas.cz

with confinement. Here this is the specific form of analyticity, which ensures non existence of spectral (Lehmann) representation at all.

The choice of the function (1.3) is well motivated due to the fact that the Gribov propagator appears as the solution of Gribov copy problem [1, 3]. Actually, it was shown in [24–26] that if QCD is properly quantized then the gluon propagator in Landau gauge receives the Gribov form in non-perturbative manner. Notice trivially, it has the simplest nontrivial complex conjugated poles, which are located on the imaginary axis of  $p^2$  complex plane.

The purpose of presented paper is twofold: the first point is to show that the evaluation of the loop with the propagators (1.3,1.1) in Minkowski space is feasible and its analytical evaluation is certainly not too much demanding. As the second point, we mention possible physics related with.

Of course, elaborating a correct analytical relations between relations in Minkowski and Euclidean spaces is always useful and obviously possible here. However let us stressed, that we start with Minkowskian definition and thus the final result is not given by analytical continuation of the expression primarily defined within the use of a real Euclidean theory. As a consequence, we will show that the correlator with Gribov propagators produces purely imaginary result, contradicting not only the use naive Wick rotation, but the usual strategy. Furthermore, the obtained result is ultraviolet finite, which is another striking outcomes of presented calculation performance.

Regarding two point correlator matrix (1.2), it has played longstanding and historically unprecedentable role in physics. Such two point function can stands for QED like  $V - V$  or  $A - A$  current correlators considered originally in QCD Sum Rules [27, 28] or it can represent colored gluon polarization function, quark selfenergy etc. depending on what we mean by the vertex  $\Gamma$  and the propagator(s)  $G$ . While the results do not support too much the old -fashionable conjecture of quark-hadron duality, the integral representation derived thorough this paper can be actually used in practice for bound states and form factors calculations. Remind here its weak coupling prerequisite: the Perturbation Theory Integral Representation [29], which has found its own application in bound state calculations [30, 31] in nonconfining theory.

We will consider not only Gribov propagator, but a wider class of the functions which have the complex conjugated singularities, including the poles as well as branch point in general. Before going ahead we simplify and concern the analytical structure alone. However note, that using suitable "trace projectors" and after some trivial algebra, any correlator matrix (1.2) can be cast into the sum of the product of matrices, tensors and the following scalar form factors

$$\Pi(p^2) = iI(p^2) = i \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \Gamma(l, p) G(l) G(l - p), \quad (1.4)$$

where all the functions in Rel. (1.4) are Lorentz scalars. Thorough this paper, we neglect also the momentum dependence of the vertex function and simply take  $\Gamma = 1$ , implying thus, that all the analytical behaviour is solely due to the Minkowski space measure and the form of functions  $G$ . Obviously, a more complete solution of the SDEs with nontrivial momentum dependence of the vertex would require analysis beyond the scope of presented study. In what follow we present Minkowski space calculation for the correlator (1.4) made out of Gribov propagators in the next Section (II).

In order to see the effect of changing the pole position, we also consider the correlator made out of the propagators with shifted poles. For this purpose we consider the following superconvergent toy model propagator function

$$G(l) = \frac{1}{(l^2 - a)^2 + b^2}, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $a$  represents a real part of the pole location. As the last case we consider also the convolution of "propagators" which have complex conjugated branch points. The later example with the function  $G$  defined as

$$G(l) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l^2 - a)^2 + b^2}}, \quad (1.6)$$

is considered in the Section IV.

## II. CORRELATOR WITH GRIBOV PROPAGATORS

The Gribov propagator (1.3) represents a simple rational function, whilst it has a usual perturbative ultraviolet asymptotic, its infrared structure is drastically different from the free particle propagator. Instead of the real pole associated with free particle modes, it has two simple imaginary poles associated with the confinement scale  $b$ . Its

reality and the absence of the real axis singularity implies that the function  $I = -i\Pi$  should be real again. Also, the direct integration in the Minkowski space is well established without a need of sometimes unavoidable analytical continuation to the auxiliary Euclidean space. However, as the momentum integration is particularly easy within the use of the Euclidean metric, the method of analytical continuation still remains a powerful technical tool and we will use it carefully in our case as well. Before starting doing so, it is convenient to make a little algebra and we rewrite the correlator  $\Pi(p^2)$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p^2) = & \frac{i}{4} \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{1}{(l^2 + ib)(q^2 + ib)} + \frac{1}{(l^2 - ib)(q^2 - ib)} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2}{(l^2 + ib)(q^2 - ib)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where each line in bracket is hermitean and  $q = l - p$ . Feynman parametrization represent a useful tricks, which allows to evaluate perturbative loops in closed form. Using this we can write for the first line in (2.1)

$$i \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx \left[ \frac{1}{[l^2 + p^2 x(1-x) + ib]^2} + \frac{1}{[l^2 + p^2 x(1-x) - ib]^2} \right] \quad (2.2)$$

where, we assume the shift of variable  $l$  as well as the interchange of the integrations order is granted by the symmetry (and we will add omitted factor  $1/4$  in (2.1) at the end). Considering  $l_o$  integration we will use the usual contour, which is literally known as a Wick rotation (WR) for the first term, while we will use the contour which is mirror symmetric to the Wick rotation (MWR) to integrate the second term (for Wick rotation see the standard textbook ([32])). Cauchy lemma then allows to write

$$\int \frac{d^4 l_E}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx \left[ \frac{-1}{[-l_E^2 - p_E^2 x(1-x) + ib]^2} + \frac{1}{[-l_E^2 - p_E^2 x(1-x) - ib]^2} \right]. \quad (2.3)$$

where  $-p^2 \rightarrow p_E^2 = p_4^2 + p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2$  in our metric convention.

Matching two terms in (2.3) together in the following manner

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{d^4 l_E}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx \frac{4ib(-l_E^2 - p_E^2 x(1-x))}{[-l_E^2 - p_E^2 x(1-x) - ib]^2 [-l_E^2 - p_E^2 x(1-x) + ib]^2} \\ & = \int \frac{d^4 l_E}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \frac{\Gamma(4)4iby(1-y)(-l_E^2 - p_E^2 x(1-x))}{[l_E^2 + p_E^2 x(1-x) + ib(1-2y)]^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The result is obviously finite and one can integrate over the momentum  $l$  without use of any regulator. However it requires the integration over two auxiliary variables  $x, y$  and there is a slightly easy way, which is to integrate each term individually. This step requires some usual (translation and other symmetries keeping) regularization, however the infinite peaces cancel each other. Independently on the procedure, it leads to the result:

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^1 dx \ln \left( \frac{p_E^2 x(1-x) + ib}{p_E^2 x(1-x) - ib} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

In order to get the analytical structure more explicit, it is advantageous to have all logs linearly dependent on integral variable. An easy way is to exploit the substitution  $u = x - 1/2$  as a first. Then, realizing the integrand is the even function of  $u$  one can change the  $u$ -integral boundaries such that  $\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} du \rightarrow 2 \int_0^{1/2} du$ . Then changing variable  $u \rightarrow \omega$  such that  $u = \sqrt{(1/4 - b/\omega)}$  one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{b}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{4b}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{b}{\omega}}} \ln \left( \frac{p_E^2 + i\omega}{p_E^2 - i\omega} \right) \\ & = \frac{-4ib}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{4b}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4b}{\omega}}} \tan^{-1} \left( -\frac{\omega}{p^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The expression (2.6) defines function of  $p^2$  which has two symmetric cuts along imaginary axis going from  $i4b$  to  $i\infty$  and from  $-i4b$  to  $-i\infty$ .

Note that here is no real cut associated with the particle threshold and the usual of dispersion relation between absorptive and real part does not apply there. In other words: there is no Lehmann representation for the correlator made out of Gribov propagators in Minkowski space. The correlator has no real part anywhere for the real Minkowski space argument  $p^2$ . In formal analogy with perturbation theory which deals with the usual particle like propagators, an analogous integral representation to spectral ones can be written down, however here, they have Gribov form again (i.e. denominator of such representation has complex conjugated zeros). To write down such representation explicitly one can use per-partes integration in  $\omega$  variable getting the following:

$$\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \pi \operatorname{sgn}(p^2) - 2 \int_{4b}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{p^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4b}{\omega}}}{p^2 + \omega^2} \right], \quad (2.7)$$

which shows us how the spectral representation for particles turns to the continuous sum of Gribov propagators of confined objects. Anticipate here, the same arguments apply for the remaining term in Eq. (2.1) for which we are going to derive the appropriate result now. Matching together its denominators by using Feynman variable  $x$  we can write for the second line of Rel. (2.1)

$$i \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 \frac{2}{[l^2 + p^2 x(1-x) + ib(2x-1)]^2}. \quad (2.8)$$

To arrive to the known Euclidean integral we use  $x$ -parameter dependent contours in the complex  $l_o$  plane. For  $b(2x-1)$  positive (negative) we can use WR (MWR) and employ Cauchy lemma (assuming the same for the external momentum). This directly gives the following result:

$$2 \int \frac{d^4 l_E}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx \frac{[-\Theta(2x-1) + \Theta(1-2x)]}{[l_E^2 + p_E^2 x(1-x) - ib(2x-1)]^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

Integrating over the Euclidean momentum we arrive into the finite expression

$$\frac{2}{4\pi^2} \left( - \int_{1/2}^1 dx + \int_0^{1/2} dx \right) \ln(-p_E^2 x(1-x) + ib(2x-1)), \quad (2.10)$$

noting that separate integration over the first or over the second step function in Eq. (2.9) would require the introduction of some regulator method (like in the previous case, the regulator can be avoided by summing these terms before the integration, for which purpose one can use Feynman trick once again. We are not showing these trivial details).

In addition we offer several integral representations, which can be in principle useful in future. To arrive in, we make the substitution  $x = (1+z)/2$  ( $x = (1-z)/2$ ) in the first (the second) term. One immediately gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^1 dz \ln \frac{-p_E^2(1-z^2)/4 - ibz}{-p_E^2(1-z^2)/4 + ibz} \\ &= \frac{2i}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^1 dz \tan^{-1} \frac{4bz}{p_E^2(1-z^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

As aforementioned, it cannot be cast into the form of spectral representation. The reason is obvious, the correlator has branch cut along the imaginary axis of  $p^2$  instead of the real one. Actually, using the substitution  $z \rightarrow \omega$  one gets for (2.11) the following representation

$$\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ -\pi \operatorname{sgn}(p^2) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{(p^2 + \omega^2)} \frac{p^2 \omega}{(b + \sqrt{b^2 + \omega^2/4})} \right] \quad (2.12)$$

or alternatively

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ -i\pi \operatorname{sgn}(p^2) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{(ip^2 - \omega)} \frac{|\omega|}{(b + \sqrt{b^2 + \omega^2/4})} \right]. \quad (2.13)$$




FIG. 1: Gribov correlator in units where  $b = 1$ .

To conclude, the correlator  $\Pi$  is given by (quarter of) the sum of two contributions (2.7) and (2.12) and satisfies continuous "Gribov" integral representation

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p^2) &= i \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega \rho_G(\omega) p^2}{p^2 + \omega^2} \\ \rho_G(\omega)(4\pi)^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{\omega}{b + \sqrt{b^2 + \omega^2/4}} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4b}{\omega}} \Theta(\omega - 4b) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Obviously, likewise the Lehmann representation reflects the analyticity of Feynman propagator  $G^{-1} = p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon$ , the correlator made out two Gribov propagators copiously reproduces the analytical structure of Green's functions involved.

The last undone integration can be performed as well, a bit lengthy expression is not presented here. Numerical values for the function  $\Pi$  is shown against the Minkowski variable  $p^2$  in Fig. (1). We plot separately the result stemming from the first line for comparison. It is shown that the terms which separately allows either WR or MWR gives relatively smooth contribution in the infrared. While In contrast, there is infrared discontinuity in the origin of the complex  $p^2$  plane, which stems from the second line, i.e. from the term which involves poles at both sides-upper and low semi-half- of complex plane. Recall, this discontinuity is lowered when the pole of Gribov propagator is shifted away from the imaginary axes. This analytical behaviour is worthwhile to study and we do this in the next Section.

At last but not at least, we comment the ultraviolet finiteness of the result. The result we obtained is finite here, however we should stress that ultraviolet finiteness is not a general property of the correlator made out of propagators with complex conjugated poles. Usual "log" divergence arises for the convolution of two different Gribov propagators. This divergence is proportional to the difference of the pole positions  $\simeq b_1 - b_2$  and if necessary it can be removed by some sort of symmetry keeping regularization. Recall here, the Gribov form of QCD propagators is assumed to be a good approximation in the infrared, while it is likely less useful for the study of ultraviolet properties. However, as the selfconsistent and serious Minkowski space study of QCD with Gribov propagators is lacking, an outcomes can be challenging.

### III. CORRELATORS WITH GENERALIZED GRIBOV PROPAGATORS

#### A. Shifting the branch point

In the previous section we have derived Gribov integral representation, which arises when two Gribov propagators convolute in 3+1 momentum space. Obvious question arises: what would happen to the correlator  $\Pi$  when one consider more general structure of the propagator, e.g. shifted pole, branch points, etc. As an example we will consider the correlator  $\Pi(p^2)$  with propagators of the following form:

$$G(l) = \frac{1}{(l^2 - a)^2 + b^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Again, without a detailed calculation one can see obvious properties of the correlator. Like in previous case, the function  $\Pi(p^2)$  should be a purely imaginary function for all  $p^2$ . Further obvious is its finiteness, actually it should be finite since its Euclidean counterpart is.

To arrive into the analytical expression here, we change a bit the calculation procedure and start with the Feynman parametrization from the beginning. For this purpose let's write

$$\frac{1}{(p^2 - a)^2 + b^2} = \frac{1}{p^2 - a + ib} \frac{1}{p^2 - a - ib} = \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{[p^2 - a + ib - 2ibx]^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

The product of two such propagators in (1.4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 \frac{1}{[l^2 - a + ib - 2ibx_1]^2} \frac{1}{[(l - p)^2 - a + ib - 2ibx_2]^2} \\ &= \int_0^1 dy dx_1 dx_2 \frac{y(1-y)\Gamma(4)}{[l^2 y + (l - p)^2(1 - y) - a + ib - 2ib(x_1 y + x_2(1 - y))]^4} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Lorentz invariance of the measure as well as the kernel here, both imply that the finite shift of the integral variable leaves the result invariant. We assume, this symmetry dictated property is a must of the theory, with possible anomalies exceptions which we are not going to discuss here. Shifting momentum  $l$  one gets for the correlator:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p^2) &= i \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dy dx_1 dx_2 \frac{y(1-y)\Gamma(4)}{[l^2 + p^2(1-y)y - \Omega]^4}, \\ \Omega &= a - ib + 2ib(x_1 y + x_2(1 - y)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The position of the pole now depends on the parameters and we interchange ordering of integrations and integrate over the four-momentum as a first. Like in the previous case we use MWR for  $\Im\Omega < 0$ , while for positive  $\Omega$ , when the pole is located in the lower half plane of complex  $l^2$ , we will use the usual WR. In both cases the inner part of intended curve is thus free of singularities and the use of Cauchy lemma switches to the Euclidean metric (performing similar for the external momentum). Doing this explicitly, one gets the following prescription for the integral

$$i \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} f(l, p) \rightarrow - \int \frac{d^4 l_E}{(2\pi)^4} \Theta(\Im\Omega) f(l_E, p_E) + \int \frac{d^4 l_E}{(2\pi)^4} \Theta(-\Im\Omega) f(l_E, p_E), \quad (3.5)$$

wherein the subscript  $E$  implies the arguments of  $f_E$  uses an Euclidean metric  $l_E^2 = l_1^2 + l_2^2 + l_3^2 + l_4^2$ . In accordance with causality, we assume  $a > 0$  in order to avoid poles at the spacelike region of momenta. Integrating over the momentum  $l$  one gets

$$\Pi(p^2) = \int_0^1 dy dx_1 dx_2 \frac{y(1-y) [-\Theta(\Im\Omega) + \Theta(-\Im\Omega)]}{(4\pi)^2 [p^2 y(1-y) - \Omega]^2}, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $p$  is Minkowski momentum again, and the function  $\Pi(p^2 > 0)$  is an analytical continuation of  $\Pi(p^2 < 0)$ .

Performing the substitution  $x_1 \rightarrow z$  such that  $z = 1 - 2(x_1 y + x_2(1 - y))$ , one gets

$$\Pi(p^2) = \int_0^1 dy dx_2 \int_{1-2(y+x_2(1-y))}^{1-2x_2(1-y)} dz \frac{(1-y) [-\Theta(z) + \Theta(-z)]}{(4\pi)^2 [p^2 y(1-y) - a + ibz]^2}. \quad (3.7)$$

For the purpose of the integration over the variable  $z$  let's distinguish three cases. The first case we define, is such that upper and down  $z$ -integral boundaries are negative. This allows to consider only the mirror Wick rotation. Integrating over the variable  $z$  leads to the following formula:

$$\frac{-1}{2ib} \int_0^1 dy dx_2 \frac{(1-y)}{(4\pi)^2} \Sigma_{i=1,2} \frac{(-1)^{1+i} [\Theta(2x_2(1-y) - 1)]}{p^2 y(1-y) - a + ibz_i}, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $z_1 = 1 - 2x_2(1-y)$  and  $z_2 = 1 - 2(y + x_2(1-y))$ .

The second case is defined by inequalities  $z_1 > 0$  and  $z_2 < 1$ . Both step functions are relevant and they just split the  $z$  integration domain to two integrals with boundaries  $z_2, 0$  and  $0, z_1$  respectively. Integrating over the variable  $z$  is straightforward and the result for the second case reads

$$\frac{-1}{2ib} \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dx_2 \frac{(1-y)\Theta(z_1)\Theta(-z_2)}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \frac{2}{p^2 y(1-y) - a} - \Sigma_{i=1,2} \frac{1}{p^2 y(1-y) - a + ibz_i} \right], \quad (3.9)$$

with two variables  $z_i$  defined previously.

The third and the ultimate case corresponds with the condition  $z_1 > z_2 > 0$ , for which one gets

$$\frac{-1}{2ib} \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dx_2 \frac{(1-y)\Theta(z_2)}{(4\pi)^2} \Sigma_{i=1,2} (-1)^i \frac{1}{p^2 y(1-y) - a + ibz_i}. \quad (3.10)$$

The scalar polarization correlator  $\Pi$  is then equal to the sum of expressions (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10).

Further analytical integration is possible as well, for instance the integration over the variable  $x_2$  gives for (3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2ib)^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \int_0^{1/2} dy \ln \frac{R - 2iby}{R + 2iby} + \int_{1/2}^1 \ln \frac{R + ib(2y-1)}{R + ib(1-2y)} + \int_{1/2}^1 \ln \frac{R + ib}{R - ib} \right] \\ & + \frac{i}{2b} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \int_0^{1/2} dy \frac{-y}{R} + \int_{1/2}^1 dy \frac{1}{R} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where we have defined  $R = p^2 y(1-y) - a$  for purpose of brevity.

Using the identity

$$\ln \frac{R - 2iby}{R + 2iby} = 2i \tan^{-1} \frac{2by}{p^2 y(1-y) - a} \quad (3.12)$$

for the first and similarly for other terms in (3.11), one immediately sees that the result for (3.9) is purely imaginary.

Further, summing (3.8) and (3.10) together and integrating over  $x_2$  gives after some trivial algebra the following formula:

$$\frac{1}{(-2ib)^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^{1/2} dy [-\ln(R + 2ib) + \ln(R + ib) - \ln(R + ib(1-2y)) + \ln(R) + c.c.], \quad (3.13)$$

where c.c. stands for complex conjugated term. Recall, as was discussed in the beginning, the total result must be completely imaginary. Thus since Rel. (3.9) already is, while the Rel. (3.13) is purely real, the later must be exactly zero for all  $p$  and the total contribution is given solely by the expression (3.11).

All integrals in (3.11) can be further integrated analytically, providing the following final result:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi = & \frac{i}{2b(4\pi)^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2s} \ln \frac{(a + s/4)^2 + b^2}{a^2 + b^2} - \frac{1}{4s} \ln \frac{(a - s/4)^2}{a^2} + \frac{1}{2b} \tan^{-1} \frac{b}{a} - \frac{1}{s\sqrt{\frac{4a-s}{s}}} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{s}{4a-s}} \right\} \\ & - \frac{1}{4b^2(4\pi)^2} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{D}}{s} \tan^{-1} \frac{-2ib + s}{\sqrt{D}} - \frac{\sqrt{D}}{s} \tan^{-1} \frac{-2ib}{\sqrt{D}} - c.c. \right. \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{D_1}}{s} \tan^{-1} \frac{2ib}{\sqrt{D_1}} - \frac{\sqrt{D_1}}{s} \tan^{-1} \frac{2ib - s}{\sqrt{D_1}} - c.c. \\ & \left. - \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{s}} \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{s}{D_2}} - c.c. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where we have used the following abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned} D &= s(4a - s) + 4b^2 ; D_1 = s(4a - s) + 4b^2 + 4ibs ; \\ D_2 &= 4a + 4ib - s ; s = p^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

The inverse tangent function of complex argument is defined through the multi-valuable complex logarithm. As one can see, apart of complex singularities, there is a real branch point presented as well. This branch point has coincided with the origin of the complex plane in the previous case, where  $a = 0$  case was considered only.

## B. $\Pi$ composed from propagators with branch points

Quark and gluon propagators can obtained via solution of SDEs and the result can be in principle approximated as a series (1.1). It is well known that the interaction is reflected in the analytical properties of amplitudes. For instance, in a relatively simple theory like QED, the effect of dressing electron propagator by photon self-exchange entails that a simple pole structure of the electron propagator turns to the branch point singularity. In QCD one can expect the similar, if not stronger effect and it is plausible that the other considerable expansions are much faster convergent, especially when their first terms catch the main properties of the exact solution. As such a further reasonable candidate, we consider propagator with square root non-analyticity. Guiding by simplicity as well as its ultraviolet asymptotic of a free propagator, let us calculate the correlator with the propagator (1.6). In this special case, the argument of the correlator reads

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{((l-p)^2 - a)^2 + b^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l^2 - a)^2 + b^2}} . \quad (3.16)$$

As the first step we start with the root decomposition of square-root arguments, then using Feynman trick gives us

$$\frac{1}{(p^2 - a + ib)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{(p^2 - a - ib)^{1/2}} = \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^{1/2}(1-x)^{1/2} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma^2(1/2)}}{p^2 - a + ib - 2ibx} . \quad (3.17)$$

Depending on the value of variable  $x$ , the denominator in rhs. of Eq. (3.17) has positive imaginary part for a small  $x$  and positive  $b$ . It turns to be negative for a larger value of  $x$ . Using the variable  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  for each propagator in (3.16) and further using the variable  $y$  to match propagators together one gets for Rel. (3.16) the following expression

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dy \sqrt{x_1(1-x_1)x_2(1-x_2)} \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma^4(1/2)}}{l^2 y + (l-p)^2(1-y) - \Omega} , \quad (3.18)$$

where  $\Omega$  is defined by (3.4) in the previous Section. After the standard shift one gets for the correlator

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p^2) &= i \int \frac{d^{3+1}l}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dy \sqrt{x_1(1-x_1)x_2(1-x_2)} \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma^4(1/2)}}{[l^2 - \Delta]^2} \\ \Delta &= -p^2(1-y)y + \Omega . \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Now we will integrate over the momenta as in the previous case. It means that for case when  $\Im\Delta < 0$  WR is used, while when the denominator has singularities at the first and the third quadrant of complex  $l_o$  MWR is used. The conditions  $\Im\Delta < 0$  and  $\Im\Delta > 0$  limits the Feynman parameters integration domain. The integration over the momentum, if taken separately, includes UV divergence. Obviously, resulting infinite constants cancel against each other at the end. Using dimensional regularization for this purpose one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p^2) &= \int \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dy \sqrt{x_1(1-x_1)x_2(1-x_2)} \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \ln(\Delta) - \gamma + O(\epsilon) \right) \\ &\quad [\Theta(-\Im\Omega) - \Theta(\Im\Omega)] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Note that only the  $\Im$  parts of the expression in the large bracket in Eq. (3.20) can survive at the end, the result will not depend on the renormalization scale at all and as mentioned it is finite at all. The remaining 3d integral over the Feynman variables can be performed numerically. If one wishes, the vanishment of the real part can be regarded as test of numerical precision. Actually, numerically we get  $\Re\Pi/\Im\Pi < 10^{-15}$  (here we did not find the analytical expression over the Feynman parameters due to the presence of square-root function). The resulting correlator is plotted in Fig. (2). Obviously, one can see the evidence for a real branch point at the momentum  $p^2 = 4a^2$ .






FIG. 2: Scalar correlator made out of the two propagators with branch point singularity. Momentum is scaled by the values of  $a, b$ , which are shown explicitly.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Correlators defined as a convolution of two Green's functions with various analytical structure, which admits confinement, have been studied in the momentum Minkowski space. We have restricted to the choice of real propagators with complex conjugated singularities. The Gribov propagator, which plays important role in  $SU(3)$  Yang-Mills theory was considered and studied in great details. It was shown that Feynman parametrization allows the analytical integration over the momentum in all studied cases, providing unique and ultraviolet finite results in the Minkowski space. Contrary to calculations performed in the Euclidean space [7–9], the Minkowski space correlator with Gribov propagators remains finite and does not require renormalization. Neither of correlators satisfies Khallen-Lehmann representation and in the case of Gribov propagator the integral representation copiously reproduces the Gribov form in its continuous version. In other cases, the analytical structure is more complicated and there is no easy way to classify all branch points and related cuts. The correlator made out of generalized Gribov propagators exhibits the real quasithreshold as well. The later strikes itself as a sharp cusp in the graph of  $\Pi(p^2)$  and is located at the usual point  $p_T^2 = 4a^2$  ( $p_T^2 = 0$  for Gribov).

The ramifications of the Minkowski space calculations can only be explored in conjunction with quantum Chromodynamics in strong coupling region. In this respect many steps remain to be finished and even the Gribov form is not an ultimate approximation of QCD propagators. Meanwhile, there are the first attempts [18, 33] to solve Schwinger-Dyson and Bethe-Salpeter equations directly in the momentum Minkowski space, the presented study show the pertinent existence of discontinuities (cuts) when passing the real axis of momenta in Minkowski space. It obviously can make the direct Minkowskian momentum space integration of SDEs difficult, cumbersome, if not even impossible in some cases. In this respect, the integral representation derived here can be very useful when looking for hadronic observables in the framework of SDEs.

- 
- [1] V. N. Gribov, Nucl. Phys. **B 139**, 1 (1978).
  - [2] M. Stingl, Phys. Rev. **D 34**, 3863 (1986).
  - [3] D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys. **B 323**, 513 (1989).
  - [4] P. MARIS and H.A. HOLTIES, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 07**, 5369 (1992).
  - [5] D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys. **B 399**, 477 (1993).
  - [6] M. Stingl, Z. Phys. **A 353**, 116 (1996).

- [7] D. Dudal, J. A. Gracey, S. P. Sorella, N. Vandersickel and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. **D 78**, 065047 (2008).
- [8] D. Dudal and M. S. Guimaraes; Phys. Rev. **D 83**, 045013.
- [9] L. Baulieu, D. Dudal, M. S. Guimaraes, M. Q. Huber, S. P. Sorella, N. Vandersickel, and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. **D 82**, 025021 (2010).
- [10] M. S. Bhagwat, M. A. Pichowsky, and P. C. Tandy, Phys. Rev. **D 67**, 054019 (2003).
- [11] S. M. Dorkin, L. P. Kaptari, B. Kampfer, arXiv:1412.3345.
- [12] S. M. Dorkin, L. P. Kaptari, T. Hilger, B. Kampfer, Phys. Rev. **C 89**, 034005 (2014).
- [13] T. Hilger, C. Popovici, M. Gomez-Rocha and A. Krassnig, arXiv:1409.3205.
- [14] C. S. Fischer, S. Kubrak, R. Williams, arXiv:1406.4370.
- [15] S.J. Stainsby, R.T. Cahill, Phys. Lett. **A146**, 467 (1990).
- [16] C. D. Roberts, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **61**,50 (2008).
- [17] M. Praszalowicz and A. Rostworowski, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 074003 (2001).
- [18] V. Sauli, submitted to FBS, arXiv:1505.03778.
- [19] D. Dudal, O. Oliveira and P. J. Silva, Phys. Rev. **D 89** 014010 (2014).
- [20] P. J. Silva, O. Oliveira, D. Dudal, P. Bicudo, N. Cardoso, arXiv:1412.4286.
- [21] V. Sauli, J. Phys. **G 39** (2012).
- [22] V. Sauli, Few-Body Systems 45 **39**.
- [23] V.Sauli, J.Adam, P. Bicudo, Phys.Rev. **D75** (2007).
- [24] D. E. Kharzeev, Color confinement from fluctuating topology, contribution to the Gribov-85 Memorial volume, arXiv:1509.00465.
- [25] A. Pereira , A non-perturbative BRST symmetry for the Gribov-Zwanziger action, talk at ACHT2015, Graz 2015.
- [26] M. A. L. Capri et al, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 065019 (2016).
- [27] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B 147**, 385 (1979).
- [28] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B 147**, 448 (1979).
- [29] N. Nakanishi, Graph Theory and Feynman Integrals, eds. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1971.
- [30] V. Sauli, J. Adam, Phys. Rev. **D67**,085007 (2003).
- [31] T. Frederico, G. Salm, M. Viviani Phys.Rev. **D85**, 036009 (2012).
- [32] see any standard textbook of quantum field theory, eg. the page 475 in S. Weinberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields I, or for instance p.192 in M.E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, An introduction to QFT.
- [33] V. Sauli, Int. J. Theor. Phys. DOI 10.1007/s10773-015-2525-2 (2015); arXiv:1411.2568.
- [34] V. Sauli, Phys. Rev. **D 90**, 016005 (2014).